

## Report on IIMHL Participants Questionnaire 2019 Leadership Exchange – Washington DC



### *Summary*

Response rate	28% (114 responses out of 412 attendees) for this 14 <sup>th</sup> Leadership Exchange	
Respondents profile	52% were first time attendees 24% had attended Stockholm 2018 23% had attended Sydney 2017 18% had attended Vancouver 2015	
Home country of Visitors who responded	Australia 18%	New Zealand 16%
	Canada 11%	Scotland 1%
	England 7%	Sweden 3%
	Ireland 7%	USA 31%
	The Netherlands 3%	
	Leaders from Spain and Greenland also responded to the survey.	

## *Section 1 The Leadership ‘Match’*

<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b> <i>(0 = poor, 5 = excellent)</i>
Quality of information sent by IIMHL	88% rated 4 or 5
Quality of hosts’ preparation prior to the Match	80% rated 4 or 5
Three key benefits from the Match (whether you were a host or visitor)?	Networking and making connections: discussions and contacts from which people can continue to learn  <b>“Relationships built with other members based on deep engagement throughout meetings”</b>
	Learning: sharing new ideas, innovations, resources, policies, global issues and best practices  <b>“Saw a new model of care integrating addictions, mental health and primary care”</b>
	Access to new information, technology and resources online  <b>“Feeling less alone on challenges faced on a global scale”</b>
Preferred size of Match to contribute to learning	The most highly rated size of group was 10-20 people.

## *Section 2 Network Meeting*

<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b> <i>(0 = poor, 5 = excellent)</i>
“Match Reports” session	73% rated 4 or 5
Top three highlights	The value placed on diversity: Indigenous issues, cultural expression, youth issues, and women in leadership roles
	Lived experience presentations, especially to start the Network Meeting
	The breadth of issues addressed and many choices of sessions
Two things that could be improved	More opportunity for networking and time for small group discussion; more breakout sessions, including more feedback from the matches
	Fewer top down panels and more lived experience presentations

<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b> <i>(0 = poor, 5 = excellent)</i>
The venue	77% rated 4 or 5
Opportunity to network	88% rated 4 or 5
Relevance of the content to your work?	83% rated 4 or 5

### ***Section 3 Ongoing IIMHL activities BETWEEN Leadership Exchanges***

<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>
Involved in one or more IIMHL topic/theme-related collaborations/activities	52% Yes
Able to tap into international expertise	93% Yes
Ongoing contact with people met	92% Yes

### ***Section 4 Planning for the 2021 Exchange***

Several leaders offered to host across the region in 2021. Over 100 suggestions were given for Match topics. Over twenty additional comments were made, overwhelmingly positive in nature and also some suggestions for improvements (for example, enhancing the voice of people with lived experience). This information has been forwarded to those organizing Christchurch 2021.

**Janet Peters, Kathy Langlois: IIMHL**