



Report on IIDL Participants Questionnaire 2017 Leadership Exchange - Sydney



Summary

Response rate	45% (49 responses out of 110 attendees)	
Respondents profile	61% were first time attendees; 20% had attended Vancouver 2015 and/or Manchester 2014; 30% had attended in Auckland in 2013.	
Home country of Visitors who responded	New Zealand 38%	Australia 31%
	Canada 13%	USA 9%
	Ireland 9%	

Section 1 The Leadership 'Match'

Question	Response (0 = poor, 5 = excellent)
Quality of information sent by IIDL	87% rated 4 or 5
Quality of hosts' preparation prior to the Match	88% rated 4 or 5
Three key benefits from the Match (whether you were a host or visitor)?	Networking: discussions & building new relationships
	Sharing new ideas, innovations, resources and best practices from other countries
	Gaining an understanding of the NDIS – “a complex and significant policy reform”

Question	Response <i>(0 = poor, 5 = excellent)</i>
Preferred size of Match to contribute to learning	The preferred size of group was 10 to 20 people, however 0 to 3 and 3 to 10 were also highly rated.

Section 2 Combined Meeting

Question	Response <i>(0 = poor, 5 = excellent)</i>	
Rating for the sessions on day one	NDIS work	80% rated 4 or 5
	SPARK training	46% rated 4 or 5
	International Leadership	71% rated 4 or 5
	State of the Nations	56% rated 4 or 5
Rating for the sessions on day two	Self-directed support	78% rated 4 or 5
	Leadership networks	78% rated 4 or 5
	“Market Place”	68% rated 4 or 5
Top 3 highlights	Professor Tom Calma’s presentation	
	The chance to network with people from other countries	
	Hearing about local and international policy reforms	
Two things that could be improved	More disabled people (and younger people) and families as presenters and participants	
	More time for dialogue and Q/A, including more small sessions	
The venue	73% rated 4 or 5	
Opportunity to network	85% rated 4 or 5	
Relevance of the content to your work?	83% rated 4 or 5	

Section 3 Ongoing IIMHL activities BETWEEN Leadership Exchanges

Question	Response
Involved in one or more IIMHL topic/theme-related collaborations/activities	55% Yes
Able to tap into international expertise	96% Yes
Ongoing contact with people met	93% Yes

Section 4 Planning for the 2018 Exchange

Eighty-nine suggestions were given as recommended topic areas for Matches. This information has been forwarded to those organizing Stockholm 2018.

Janet Peters, Kathy Langlois for HDL